



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/653,994	09/01/2000	Koichiro Maemura	2271/62975 6805	
7590 03/31/2006		EXAMINER		
Richard F Jaworski			PARK, CHAN S	
Cooper & Dun			4 22 12 12	DARED NUR (DER
1185 Avenue of the Americas			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
New York, NY 10036			2625	

DATE MAILED: 03/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/653,994	MAEMURA, KOICHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
CHAN S. PARK	2625		

	CHAN S. PARK	2625				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 March 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the following places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The repl	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	•					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI).	f the final rejection. RST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	n fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must I AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal d	of the appeal.			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	·	jected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).					
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.² Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. \boxtimes For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	⊠ will not be entered or b\ □ w	vill he entered and an	explanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		in be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-44</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	•	- · · ·				
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe ry and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10.	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ince because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s).				
13. Other:						
	bb ₀	MARY EXAMINED TO	ai.			
		rausen	الما مر			
S. Patent and Trademark Office			/			

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendment raises new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. Regarding all independent claims, the addition of the limitation of "... wherein said list of image information includes communication control information for a plurality of rejected communications, and the communication control information in the list for a rejected communication indicates that the communication was rejected", requires a new search and further consideration, as it raises new issues.